

Appl. No.: 10/083,169  
Amdt. dated 05/15/2008  
Reply to Office Action of 11/15/2007

## **REMARKS**

This amendment is submitted along with a Request for Continued Examination a request for three months extension and appropriate fee in reply to the Office Action dated November 15, 2007 and the Advisory Action dated April 9, 2008. Claims 1-6 and 8-38 currently stand rejected. Independent claims 1 and 23 and claims 4, 9-14, 16, 20, 21, 23, 24, 31, 36 and 37 have been amended to more particularly distinguish the claimed invention from the cited references. New claim 39 has been added to further define patentable aspects of the claimed invention. No new matter has been added by the amendment. Claims 2, 3, 5-8, 26-29, 34, 35 and 38 have been canceled, without prejudice.

In light of the amendment and the remarks presented below, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of all now-pending claims of the present application.

### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC §102**

Claims 1-6 and 8-38 currently stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Breck et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0210449, hereinafter “Breck” (referred to in the Office Action as “Breek’’)). As indicated above, Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 26-29, 34, 35 and 38 have been canceled, without prejudice, and thus the rejections of these claims are now moot.

Applicants have amended independent claim 1 to recite, *inter alia*, verifying internally at the user equipment on the basis of an identification code that associates with the user equipment that automatic insertion of information into at least one of said data fields of said information entity is allowed and automatically inserting information into at least one data field of the information entity based on information available at the user equipment. Applicants respectfully submit that Breck fails to teach or suggest the above recited features and is not cited as such.

Applicants respectfully submit that independent claim 23 contains recitations substantially similar to those of independent claim 1 with respect to verifying internally at the user equipment on the basis of an identification code that associates with the user equipment that automatic insertion of information into at least one of said data fields of said information entity is allowed and automatically inserting information into at least one data field of the information

Appl. No.: 10/083,169  
Amdt. dated 05/15/2008  
Reply to Office Action of 11/15/2007

entity based on information available at the user equipment. Thus, independent claim 23 is patentable for at least the same reasons as given above for independent claim 1.

Claims 4, 9-22, 24, 25, 30-33, 36 and 37 depend either directly or indirectly from corresponding ones of independent claims 1 and 23, and thus include all the recitations of their corresponding independent claims. Therefore, dependent claims 4, 9-22, 24, 25, 30-33, 36 and 37 are patentable for at least the same reasons as given above for independent claims 1 and 23.

Accordingly, for all the reasons stated above, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections of claims 1, 4, 9-25, 30-33, 36 and 37 as being anticipated by Breck are overcome.

#### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103**

Claims 1-6 and 8-38 currently stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Laage et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0138445, hereinafter “Laage”) in view of Blumenthal (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0069792). As indicated above, Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 26-29, 34, 35 and 38 have been canceled, without prejudice, and thus the rejections of these claims are now moot.

Applicants have amended independent claim 1 to recite, *inter alia*, verifying internally at the user equipment on the basis of an identification code that associates with the user equipment that automatic insertion of information into at least one of said data fields of said information entity is allowed and automatically inserting information into at least one data field of the information entity based on information available at the user equipment. Applicants respectfully submit that Blumenthal and Laage each fail to teach or suggest the above recited features and are not cited as such. Thus, any combination of Blumenthal and Laage also fails to teach or suggest the above recited features.

Applicants respectfully submit that independent claim 23 contains recitations substantially similar those of independent claim 1 with respect to verifying internally at the user equipment on the basis of an identification code that associates with the user equipment that automatic insertion of information into at least one of said data fields of said information entity is allowed and automatically inserting information into at least one data field of the information

Appl. No.: 10/083,169  
Amdt. dated 05/15/2008  
Reply to Office Action of 11/15/2007

entity based on information available at the user equipment. Thus, independent claim 23 is patentable for at least the same reasons as given above for independent claim 1.

Claims 4, 9-22, 24, 25, 30-33, 36 and 37 depend either directly or indirectly from corresponding ones of independent claims 1 and 23, and thus include all the recitations of their corresponding independent claims. Therefore, dependent claims 4, 9-22, 24, 25, 30-33, 36 and 37 are patentable for at least the same reasons as given above for independent claims 1 and 23.

Accordingly, for all the reasons stated above, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections of claims 1, 4, 9-25, 30-33, 36 and 37 in view of Blumenthal and Laage are overcome.

#### **Newly Added Claim**

Applicants have added new claim 39 to more particularly define aspects of the present application. The new claim includes no new matter and is fully supported by the specification and the drawings of the present application.

Accordingly, it is believed that the new claim is in condition for allowance.

Appl. No.: 10/083,169  
Amdt. dated 05/15/2008  
Reply to Office Action of 11/15/2007

### CONCLUSION

In view of the amendment and the remarks submitted above, it is respectfully submitted that the present claims are in condition for immediate allowance. It is therefore respectfully requested that a Notice of Allowance be issued. The Examiner is encouraged to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to resolve any remaining issues in order to expedite examination of the present invention.

It is not believed that extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required, beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 CFR § 1.136(a), and any fee required therefore (including fees for net addition of claims) is hereby authorized to be charged to Deposit Account No. 16-0605.

Respectfully submitted,



Chad L. Thorson  
Registration No. 55,675

**Customer No. 00826**  
**ALSTON & BIRD LLP**  
Bank of America Plaza  
101 South Tryon Street, Suite 4000  
Charlotte, NC 28280-4000  
Tel Charlotte Office (704) 444-1000  
Fax Charlotte Office (704) 444-1111

**ELECTRONICALLY FILED USING THE EFS-WEB ELECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE ON MAY 15, 2008.**

LEGAL02/30810935v1